

Summary of discussion with the UDC Advisory Committee (Aug 2020 – Jan 2021)

Tree Preservation, Removal and Mitigation

TP.01 Discussion	
<p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• UDC requires all species to identify and measure <u>all</u> trees 12" and greater (UDC 8.05).</li> <li>• It takes time to identify and locate every single tree – should not include excluded trees.</li> <li>• All trees are typically required to be identified on the survey to not overlook any trees that may be protected</li> <li>• Another benefit is to know where the "trash" trees are located to know where improvements may better be located as opposed to areas where the protected trees are located.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Follow Up Needed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None</li> </ul>
<p><b>Direction on Draft Solution:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specify that the "excluded trees" do not need to be measured or identified.</li> <li>• Or, add the word "protected" prior to "trees" in the UDC standard.</li> <li>• Include in the survey if it may be used as some type of credit</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Final Terms:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specify/clarify that the excluded trees include all cedar trees (Ashe juniper, Mountain Cedar, Blueberry Juniper, or Post Cedar)</li> <li>• Codify the method of measurement to determine the multi-trunk trees to be measured</li> <li>• Proceed as proposed (with Option B for TP.05)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Redlines:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No further comment.</li> </ul>	
TP.02 Discussion	
<p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stem appears to have 3 different meanings</li> <li>• Hard to distinguish for multi-trunk trees</li> <li>• Height off the ground to consider a trunk v branch</li> </ul>	<p><b>Follow Up Needed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Revised definition of trunk and branch (does not use word "stem")</li> </ul>
<p><b>Direction on Draft Solution:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add definition of "trunk"</li> </ul>	

### TP.02 Discussion

**Direction on Final Terms:**

- Simple is good
- How will this affect multi-trunk definition?
- Include definition for branches and roots as these terms are included in the trunk definition

**Direction on Redlines:**

- No further comment.

### TP.03 Discussion

**Discussion:**

- Using terms "hardwood" and "softwood" may be more vague – do not recommend using these terms

**Follow Up Needed:**

- None

**Direction on Draft Solution:**

- Do not include these terms in the UDC – maintain current definitions
- Using specific species in defining protected and heritage trees is recommended

**Direction on Final Terms:**

- Proceed as proposed.

**Direction on Redlines:**

- No further comment.

### TP.04 Discussion

**Discussion:**

- There are a lot of varieties of cedar
- Better to define the types of trees that we want to keep

**Follow Up Needed:**

- None

**Direction on Draft Solution:**

- Maintain current UDC list of excluded trees, which includes cedar trees

**Direction on Final Terms:**

- Proceed as proposed

**Direction on Redlines:**

- No further comment.

TP.05 Discussion	
<p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to be included so they may be counted towards mitigation and credit trees</li> <li>• Identify a way to measure for certain multi-trunk trees (i.e. crepe myrtle)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Follow Up Needed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bring back two options for consideration</li> </ul>
<p><b>Direction on Draft Solution:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One way to measure ornamental trees may be by looking at the 5 largest trunks</li> <li>• EXAMPLE (Option B) CP with 4 trunks, largest trunk = 6 in <math>X = \text{largest trunk}, n = \text{no. of smaller trunks}</math> <math>X + 0.5*X*n</math> <math>6 + ((0.5*6)*3) = 15''</math></li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Final Terms:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proceed with Option B</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Redlines:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add person to graphic next to DBH height measurement</li> </ul>	

TP.06 Discussion	
<p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Look into creating a new tree removal permit so that a SDP would not be required.</li> <li>• Consider using a minimum size to determine when approval is required.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Follow Up Needed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Language that mimics heritage trees in the ROW and easements</li> </ul>
<p><b>Direction on Draft Solution:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create a new removal permit for protected trees</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Final Terms:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proceed as proposed</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Redlines:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No further comment.</li> </ul>	

TP.07 Discussion	
<p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include it as an option for new development and at the 5/10 year mark to better plan the site</li> <li>• Inventory also includes location of the tree on the site</li> <li>• Inventory are completed by arborist</li> </ul>	<p><b>Follow Up Needed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify if and when it will be required</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p><b>Direction on Draft Solution:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good to encourage the inventory and where it is beneficial</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Final Terms:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There are different stages of oak wilt – it may be difficult for surveyors to identify oak wilt if they do not have that expertise</li> <li>• Staff’s response: Trees identified as “dead” or “deceased” - identify if oak wilt is the reason for the tree health status</li> <li>• Add “if dead” at the end of bullet point no. 5; or additional language to specify when applicable</li> <li>• Consider inventory option for extraordinary conditions</li> <li>• Trees on a survey identified as “dead” or “deceased” need to be further evaluated to determine if it is oak wilt</li> <li>• Need clear definition of “Tree Inventory” and what the requirements are for the inventory</li> <li>• Combine bullet points 1 and 5</li> <li>• Define/specify how you can reduce mitigation</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Redlines:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No further comment.</li> </ul>	

TP.08 Discussion	
<p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Heading in the right direction</li> <li>• Address what happens if the tree dies (replenish requirement)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Follow Up Needed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None</li> </ul>
<p><b>Direction on Draft Solution:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More detail</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Final Terms:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Definition for “stands”</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Redlines:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No further comment.</li> </ul>	

TP.09 Discussion	
<p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Floodplain – if not using for credit, do not need to include in survey</li> <li>• Floodplain – cannot be developed in, thus should not be included for mitigation</li> <li>• Floodplain – alternatively, developers may want to include these trees as credits if it allows other portions of the property to be developed</li> </ul>	<p><b>Follow Up Needed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bring back examples for each possible solution to discuss at next meeting.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Alta</li> <li>• South Fork Apt site</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><b>Direction on Draft Solution:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need more info.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Final Terms:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Developer – advantage that there are portions of property that can be counted/credited to allow more development in another portion</li> <li>• Look at option to give developers a choice to do either Option A or B</li> <li>• Another option may be to not count trees in the floodplain, but count double/higher credit within the developable area --&gt; look for ways that incentivizes preservation within the developable area</li> <li>• Bring back Option C for consideration (provide choice it makes sense)</li> <li>• Consider effect it has on cost of housing (for all proposed amendments)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Final Terms:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarify that the trees in the floodplain can be counted in your total number of trees, but that they cannot be used as credit trees for mitigation</li> <li>• What might happen if a development had an area of dense trees outside of the floodplain?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Direction on Redlines:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No further comment.</li> </ul>	

TP.10 Discussion	
<p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requiring vs encouraging – every time something is required it increases price</li> <li>• Specify the value for encouraging tree inventory requirement</li> </ul>	<p><b>Follow Up Needed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incentive options to discuss at next meeting</li> </ul>
<p><b>Direction on Draft Solution:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bag of options – create incentives</li> </ul>	

**TP.10 Discussion**

**Direction on Final Terms:**

- Look at TP.07

**Direction on Redlines:**

- No further comment.

**TP.11 Discussion**

**Discussion:**

- Verify/work with Finance on details for reimbursement process
- Off-site planting on common areas for residential subdivisions an appropriate option
- Other jurisdictions that allow credit for trees planted on street yards of SFR lots

**Follow Up Needed:**

- Work with Legal team to determine what City can require through deed restrictions
- Options for tier process through an administrative process
  - Options from other cities
  - Examples of projects to evaluate
- Options on different fees for mitigation depending on size

**Direction on Draft Solution:**

- Need more info.

**Direction on Final Terms:**

- Clarify that developer pays up front and can get credit later
- Clarify greater than 12 but less than 18
- Georgetown should have a minimum of 3 classes

**Direction on Redlines:**

- No further comment.

**TP.12 Discussion**

**Discussion:**

- Street trees should not be allowed to be planted in front of the sign
- Fee-in-lieu of as an option in the event a tree may not be planted elsewhere on site

**Follow Up Needed:**

- None

**Direction on Draft Solution:**

-

## TP.12 Discussion

### Direction on Final Terms:

- Clarify that it must be planted within the same landscape area (i.e. if in the street yard, it needs to be placed in the street yard)

### Direction on Redlines:

- No further comment.